

The Convolution Theorem for the Normal Experiment

Setup: $W \sim N(h, \Sigma)$ > indep
 $U \sim U(0, 1)$
 $A = \text{fixed matrix}$

$$T = f(W, U)$$

w.r.t. Ah

Def'n: T is called equivalent in law if the dist'n of

$$V = T - Ah$$

does not depend on h .

Prop.: If T is equivalent in law w.r.t. Ah , then under $h=0$ we have

$$T \stackrel{d}{=} T_1 + T_2,$$

where $T_1 \sim N(0, A \Sigma A^T)$ and T_2 is indep of T_1 .

$$\text{(I.e. } V \stackrel{d}{=} T_1 + T_2 \text{)}$$

Proof: Put $W^* = W - h \sim N(0, \Sigma)$.

We can then write

$$T = f(W^* + h, V)$$

Let V^* be an r.v. with the dist'n of $T - Ah$ (which, by hypothesis, does not depend on h).

Then we have

$$f(W^* + h, V) - Ah \stackrel{d}{=} V^* \quad Ah.$$

Now, for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ let $H^m \sim U(-m, m)$ independent of (W^*, V)

We have

$$f(W^* + H^m, V) - AH^m \stackrel{d}{=} V^*$$

$$\Rightarrow f(W^* + H^m, V) - A(W^* + H^m) + AW^* \stackrel{d}{=} V^*$$

$$\therefore [f(S^m, V) - AS^m] + AW^* \stackrel{d}{=} V^*$$

where $S^m = W^* + H^m$.

We claim that S_m and W^* are asymptotically independent as $m \rightarrow \infty$. To see this, we reason as follows:

$$|Pr(S_m \in B, W^* \in C) - Pr(S_m \in B)Pr(W^* \in C)|$$

$$\leq |Pr(S_m \in B, W^* \in C) - Pr(H_m \in B)Pr(W^* \in C)|$$

$$+ |Pr(H_m \in B) - Pr(S_m \in B)|Pr(W^* \in C)$$

$$\leq 2 \int |Pr(W + H_m \in B) - Pr(H_m \in B)| f_{W^*}(w) dw$$

$$\leq 4 Pr(|W^*| > \sqrt{m})$$

$$+ 2 \sup_{|w| \leq \sqrt{m}} |Pr(W + H_m \in B) - Pr(H_m \in B)|$$

Take $B = (c, d]$. Then

$$Pr(W + H_m \in B)$$

$$= Pr(c - w = H_m \leq d - w)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} [\min(d - w, m) - \max(c - w, -m)]$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$, with w restricted to $[-\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{m}]$, we get

$$Pr(W + H_m \in B) = Pr(H_m \in B) = d - c$$

Now let

$$\tilde{Z}_m = f(S_m, U) - AS_m$$

$$= f(W^* + H_m, U) - A(W^* + H_m)$$

Since \tilde{Z}_m is a direct fn of S_m , we have that \tilde{Z}_m and W^* are asymptotically independent.

Let Z_m be a r.v. that is exactly independent of W^* and has the same dist'n as \tilde{Z}_m . Then

$$\sup_{A, B} |Pr(Z_m \in B, W^* \in C) - Pr(\tilde{Z}_m \in B, W^* \in C)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Define

$$\tilde{V}_m = \tilde{Z}_m + AW^* = f(W^* + H_m, U) - AH_m$$

$$V_m = Z_m + AW^*$$

We have

$$\sup_D |Pr(V_m \in D) - Pr(\tilde{V}_m \in D)| \rightarrow 0$$

and $\tilde{V}_m \stackrel{d}{=} V^*$, so $V_m \xrightarrow{d} V^*$.

Hence, for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find K_ϵ such that

$$Pr(\|V_m\| \leq K_\epsilon) \geq 1 - \epsilon \quad \forall m.$$

In addition, we can find K'_ϵ such that

$$P_r(\|AW^*\| \leq K'_\epsilon) \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

Since $Z_m = V_m = AW^*$, we get

$$P_r(\|Z_m\| \leq K_\epsilon + K'_\epsilon) \geq 1 - 2\epsilon \quad A_m.$$

Thus, $Z_m = O_p(1)$.

So, by Pelevin's Thm, there exists a subsequence Z_{m_k} and an r.v. Z such that

$$Z_{m_k} \xrightarrow{d} Z$$

For any B with $P_r(Z \in B) = 0$ we have

$$P_r(Z_{m_k} \in B, W^* \in C)$$

$$= P_r(Z_{m_k} \in B) P_r(W^* \in C)$$

$$\rightarrow P_r(Z \in B) P_r(W^* \in C)$$

Thus, $(Z_{m_k}, W^*) \xrightarrow{d} (Z, W^*)$,

where the r.v.'s Z and W^* are indpt.

Recalling $V_m = Z_m + AW^*$ and $V_m \xrightarrow{d} V^*$, we get

$$V^* \stackrel{d}{=} T_1 + T_2,$$

where $T_1 = AW^* \sim N(0, A \Sigma A^T)$ and T_2 (o.k.c. Z) is independent of T_1 .