Wh-type Constructions, p. 1

In each of these, the underlined element is “associated with” both the bracketed clause and the clause containing the verb put.

Direct Questions
[Which book does the student think that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf?]

Indirect Questions
I wonder [which book the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf]

Exclamatives
[What a book the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf]!

Wh relative clauses
the book [which the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf]

Non-restrictive relative clauses
this book, [which the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf]

Pseudoclefts
[What the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf] is the book

Topicalization
[This book, the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf].

In the following constructions, the element that is associated with the two clauses does not appear explicitly

Non-wh relative clauses
the book [__ (that) the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf]

Clefts
It is the book [__ (that) the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf]

Comparatives
I read more books [__ than the student thinks that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf]

“Tough Movement" 
This book is easy [__ to think that the teacher said that the librarian put ___ on the shelf].
These constructions have been given various names in the literature, none of them entirely satisfactory.

- **wh movement/dependencies/constructions**
  While many of these constructions involve wh elements, not all do.

- **A movement/dependencies**
  A here means “non-argument”, since the function of discourse-prominence is not argument-related. But, of course, there are many other constructions involving non-argument elements.

- **unbounded movements/deletions/dependencies (UDCs)**
  “Unbounded” is supposed to express the non-local relation between the two functions. Again, being unbounded is not limited to these constructions. There is also theoretical disagreement over whether the constructions are really unbounded or simply appear to be.

- **long distance movements/deletions/dependencies (LDDs)**
  Similar to “unbounded,” and the same objections apply. Also, not all instances are actually long-distance.

- **syntactic binding**
  This name, which was used for a while in the 1980s, carries the implication that these constructions are similar to anaphoric binding, but more strictly governed by syntactic principles. This is a dubious assumption.

- **movement/extraction**
  Not a name for the class of constructions, but rather for a particular kind of analysis of these constructions proposed in transformational/derivational theories, in which the multifunctional item is moved (or extracted) from one position to another. (On the other hand, the term “extraction” is inexplicably used by researchers in non-movement theoretical frameworks.)

- **deletion**
  Not a name for the class of constructions, but rather for a particular kind of analysis of these constructions proposed in earlier transformational/derivational theories, and now abandoned, in which an item is deleted.

- **SLASH constructions**
  Not a name for the class of constructions, but rather for a particular kind of analysis of these constructions proposed in phrase structure grammar (GPSG/HPSG) in which a feature named SLASH carries information about the multifunctional element.

- **constituent control**
  Not a name for the class of constructions, but rather for a particular kind of analysis of these constructions proposed in early Lexical-Functional Grammar (LFG).